



Analysis and Design of HCR and LCR Gears: Strength Base

MARRI RAVITEJA

Assistant professor

Department OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

Scient Institute Of Technology, Ibrahimpatnam, Ranga Reddy District -501506

Abstract: In this work, examination of high contact proportion apparatuses and low contact proportion gears has been improved the situation a similar load taking diverse parameters like contact stresses (equal burdens), disfigurement, strain, frictional anxieties and weight on every tooth into thought. Results demonstrated that the execution of HCR is greatly improved when contrasted with the LCR outfit set. add up to twisting, push, strain, frictional anxiety, and weight esteems r observed to be much lower for HCR adapt set contrasted with LCR equip set. This is because of the heap on each combine of tooth in HCR is not as much as the same in LCR equip set.

Keywords: LCR, HCR, torsional, FEA, Gears

1. INTRODUCTION

Apparatuses are one of the most established of mankind's innovations. About every one of the gadgets we consider as a machines use outfitting of some sort. Apparatus innovation has been created and extended consistently. By and large, adapt configuration is considered as a claim to fame. By and by, the plan or detail of a rigging is just piece of the general framework configuration picture. From industry's point of view, equip transmission frameworks are viewed as one of the basic parts of vibration examination. The comprehension of the conduct when gears are in work is critical in the event that one needs to perform framework checking and control of the rigging transmission framework. In spite of the fact that there are substantial measure of research learns about different subjects of apparatus transmission, the fundamental comprehension of riggings in work still should be affirmed.

1.1 PROBLEM STATEMENT

At the point when a couple of apparatuses work, confined Hertzian contact stretch are delivered. This is a non-straight issue, and it can be unraveled by applying distinctive sorts of contact components and calculations in limited component codes. Be that as it may, because of the confused contact conditions, gaining brings about the lattice cycle can challenge since a few arrangements may not join. Regardless, utilizing quadrilateral components appear to be helpful in taking care of rigging contact issues with limited component examination. Besides, fitting solidness is regularly being talked about when a couple of apparatuses are in work. Lattice solidness can be isolated into Torsional Mesh Stiffness and Linear Tooth Mesh Stiffness. The torsional work firmness is characterized as the proportion between the info torque stack and the rakish relocation of the information outfit. Once in

work, the apparatuses' pitch hovers move on each other without slipping. With a consistent torque stack, the torsional work solidness changes through the pivot of the riggings. These progressions are because of the contact proportion between the pinion and apparatus. Contingent upon the contact proportion; the contact district would change and exchange from single tooth contact to twofold tooth contact or even a higher number of reaching sets. This difference in contact districts is alluded to as a work cycle. Through the work cycle, the torsional work firmness can be used as a device to examine outfit transmission blunders. Besides, the torsional work firmness is identified with the straight tooth work solidness by the ordinary contact constrain that demonstrations along the line of activity. Essentially, the direct tooth work firmness of the riggings is a simple way to deal with comprehend the coupling between the torsional and transverse movements of the framework. The straight tooth work solidness has been picked as the essential parameter to be examined in this work. This work is principally concentrating on, however not constrained to, the apparatus displaying and investigation utilizing the limited component strategy. A lot of FEA estimations were influenced utilizing the limited component to code ANSYS. Examinations between anticipated straight tooth work firmness are given diverse kind of components, coordination strategies, fitting quality, plane anxiety versus plane strain, affectability of model resilience, and break demonstrating. Moreover, little measure of tests are performed in the point of approving gearbox symptomatic approachs. The target of the tests is to screen and recognize vibration frequencies related with the apparatuses and direction in a gearbo.

1.2 OBJECTIVE:

In display age vehicles request an extremely minimized transmission to meet portability prerequisites. A minimized transmission with low working commotion and vibration is attractive in military followed vehicles to diminish weight and enhance energy to-weight proportion. It is likewise important to build the rating of existing transmissions in military followed vehicles, similar to prime movers, to oblige the extra weight required for ballistic security. Subsequently, it was chosen to apply a high-contact-proportion (HCR) goad equipping idea that will diminish clamor and vibration and upgrade stack conveying limit. In HCR outfitting, the heap is shared by a base two sets of teeth, as in helical riggings. It was chosen to investigate the heap sharing of the low-contact-proportion (LCR) adapting utilized as a part of the goad outfit



International Journal of Ethics in Engineering & Management Education

Website: www.ijeee.in (ISSN: 2348-4748, Volume 4, Issue 10, October 2017)

work of the current last drive; and, to break down the heap sharing of the HCR outfitting that will be utilized to supplant the LCR gears with no adjustment in the current last drive get together. This paper manages examination of various parameters of rigging network all through the profile for both apparatus and pinion apparatuses of LCR/HCR outfitting—utilizing limited component investigation (FEA), contact stress and avoidance along the profile of both LCR and HCR adapting.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Gears are a critical component in the rotating machinery industry. Various research methods, such as theoretical, numerical, and experimental, have been done throughout the years regarding gears. One of the reasons why theoretical and numerical methods are preferred is because experimental testing can be particularly expensive. Thus, numerous mathematical models of gears have been developed for different purposes. This chapter presents a brief review of papers recently published in the areas of gear design, transmission errors, vibration analysis, etc., also including brief information about the models, approximations, and assumptions made.

Wyluda and Wolf [1] performed an elastic-plastic finite element analysis of the quasi-static loading of two acetal copolymer gears in contact. The applied load vs. gear set rotation is compared to actual experimental results. The geometry of the gear is modeled with variable thickness between the rim and web. Plane strain elements were used in the finite element model. Gear tooth failure is considered and modeled using methods of deactivating and separating elements when the tensile strength is exceeded. As a result, the mechanical behavior and prediction of copolymer acetal gears is quite complicated. Combination of computer simulations and component testing has merged a better understanding of copolymer acetal gear design. Also, the results indicate that a linear elastic approach is only suitable when the gears are under low loads and deformations. So, performing non-linear analysis is essential in order to optimize a gear set.

In 2003, Barone *et al.* [2] aimed at investigating the behavior of a face gear transmission considering contact path under load, and load sharing and stresses, for an unmodified gear set including shaft misalignment and modification on pinion profile. The investigation is carried out by integrating a 3D CAD system and a FEA code, and by simulating the meshing of pinion and gear sectors with three teeth, using contact elements and an automated contact algorithm. The results show the influence of load on theoretically calculated contact paths, contact areas, contact length and load sharing. Also, it shows that the effectiveness of the numerical approach to the meshing problem in its complexity and that commonly adopted approaches are not suitable for non conventional, highly loaded gears in which rim and tooth deformations are not negligible. Overloads due to pinion misalignments and shift of contact areas are also being considered.

In 2001, Howard *et al.* [3] used a simplified gear dynamic model to explore the effect of friction on the resultant gear case vibration. The model includes the effect of variations in gear tooth torsional mesh stiffness, developed using finite element analysis, as the gears mesh together. The frictional force between teeth is integrated into the dynamic equations. Single tooth crack effects are shown on the frequency spectrum. The effect of the tooth crack could be seen in the time waveforms of all the dynamic variables being simulated when friction was neglected. The diagnostic techniques worked clearly when friction was included in the model, and in most cases friction gave a negligible change in the resulting values.

In 2005, Wang and Howard [4] presented the methods and results of the use of FEA high contact ratio gears in mesh. The numerical models were developed with gears in mesh under quasi-static conditions. The details of transmission error, combined torsional mesh stiffness, load-sharing ratio, contact stress and tooth root stress against various input loads over a complete mesh cycle are also taking into account. Thus, various tooth profile modifications are presented and comparisons between the results show evidence for the optimal profile modification expected to gain the maximum benefit of high contact ratio gears. Also, the optimal relief length is normally dependent on the gears' geometrical properties. The results of optimal relief length vs. the tooth addendum variations have shown that the relief length can be very small, and it suggests that the contact ratio or the module be increased in order to retain the natural benefits of high contact ratio gears.

One year later (2006), Wang and Howard [5] investigated a large number of 2D and 3D gear models using finite element analysis. The models included contact analysis between teeth in mesh, a gear body, and teeth with and without a crack at the tooth root. The model results were compared using parameters such as the torsional mesh stiffness, tooth stresses and the stress intensity factors that are obtained under assumptions of plane stress, plane strain, and 3D analysis. Also, the models considered variations of face width of the gear. As a result, the finite element solution has been shown to produce acceptable results for stresses within a limited range. The 2D modeling errors can be significant when the gear is subject to a fracture such as a tooth root fatigue crack. Thus, 2D solutions may only apply in a very narrow range. Also, ignoring these errors (fatigue analysis) can lead to significantly erroneous results. The actual parameters used in the investigations demonstrate that caution must be taken where 2D assumptions are applied in the modeling. In 2007, Carmignani *et al.* [6] have simulated the dynamic behavior of a faulted gear transmission. The meshing stiffness was evaluated statically as a function of the gear angular position using finite element gear meshing models. The deformation of the teeth under load and the faulted gears such as tooth cracks of different lengths at different locations on the tooth flank were taking into account in the simulations. Also,

the numerical simulations were carried out in a simulink environment with different applied torques and gear angular velocities. As a result, the fracture causes a variation in the meshing stiffness when the faulty tooth is engaged in meshing. The crack affects stiffness only if the cracked zone is loaded between the tooth root and the contact point. However, if there are more teeth in contact, the uncracked teeth would share the load, which unloads the cracked tooth and thus reduces the stiffness disturbance effect.

3. GEAR DESIGN AND CALCULATIONS

3.1 Overview

The main purpose of gearing is to transmit motion from one shaft to another. If there is any mistake or error on the gears, motion will not be transmitted correctly. Also, if the errors on the gears are crucial, it may destroy or heavily damage the components in a gearbox. Therefore, it becomes important to understand the subject of gearing. In order to gain better understanding of gearing, one should get some knowledge about the design of gear and the theory of gear tooth action.

3.2 Types of gears

There are many different types of gears used by industry, but all these gears share the same purpose, which is to transmit motion from one shaft to another. Generally, gearing consists of a pair of gears with axes are either parallel or perpendicular. Among all the gears in the world, the four most commonly discussed gears are spur gear, helical gear, bevel gear, and worm gearing.

Spur gears considered as the simplest form of gearing, and they consist of teeth parallel to the axis of rotation. The common pressure angles used for spur gears are $14\frac{1}{2}$, 20, and 25 degrees. One of the advantages of a low pressure angle is smoother and quieter tooth action. In contrast, larger pressure angles have the advantages of better load carrying capacity. Helical gears consist of teeth that are cut at an angle and inclined with the axis of rotation. Helical gears essentially have the same applications as spur gears. However, because of their gradual engagement of the teeth during meshing, helical gears tend to be less noisy. In addition, the inclined tooth develops thrust loads and bending couples, which are not present in the spur gear.

Bevel gears teeth are formed on conical surfaces and unlike spur and helical gears, bevel gears are used for transmitting motion between intersecting shafts not parallel shafts. There are different types of bevel gears, but all of them establish thrust, radial, and tangential loads on their support bearings.

Worm gearing consists of the worm and worm gear. Depend upon the rotation direction of the worm; the direction of rotation of the worm gear would be different. The direction of rotation also depends upon whether the worm teeth are cut left-hand or right-hand. In general, worm gear sets are more efficient when the speed ratios of the two shafts are high. Basically, in worm gearing, higher speed equals to better

efficiency. The following figure demonstrates the four most common types of gears in industry.



Figure 1: Types of gears

3.3 Manufacturing processes

A number of ways can be used to manufacture the shape of the gear teeth; however, they can be classified into two categories – Forming and Generating. In forming processes, the tooth space takes the exact form of the cutter. On the other hand, generating is a process that uses a tool having a shape different from the tooth profile which is moved relative to the gear blank as to obtain the proper tooth shape. According to Drago [7], the same theoretical tooth forms can be produced by both forming and generating, but the actual profiles that result on the parts differ slightly. Generated profiles are actually a series of flats whose envelope is the desired form,

while the surface of a formed profile is usually a continuous curve. In general, gear teeth may be machined by milling, shaping, or hobbing. Also, they may be finished by shaving, burnishing, grinding, or lapping.

Milling – a form milling cutter will be used to conform the tooth space. The tooth form is produced by passing the milling cutter with the appropriate shape through the blank. The only drawback for this method is the necessity to use a different cutter for each gear because different gears have different-shaped tooth spaces. Shaping – either a pinion cutter or a rack cutter will be used to generate the gear teeth. The cutter reciprocates with respect to the work and is fed into the gear bank. Since each tooth of the cutter is a cutting tool, the teeth are all cut after the blank has completed one rotation.

Hobbing – one of the fastest ways of cutting gears. The hob basically is a cutting tool that is shaped like a worm. As the hob rotates and feeds along the gear axis, the gear rotates about its axis in a carefully controlled environment. A single hob of a given normal pitch and pressure angle can be used to produce any standard external spur or helical gear with the same pitch and pressure angle.

Finishing – if there are errors in the tooth profiles, gears may be subjected to additional dynamic forces. A good finishing on tooth profiles would help to diminish these errors. Shaving machines offer to cut off a small amount of metal and improve the accuracy of the tooth profile. Burnishing utilizes

hardened gears with slightly oversized teeth and run in mesh with the gear until the surfaces become smooth. Grinding employs the principle of generating and produces very accurate gear teeth.

Lapping is applied to heat treated gears to correct small errors, improve surface finish, and remove nicks and burrs

3.4 Theory of gear tooth action

3.4.1 Terminology

The first step of learning gear design is to know the basic terminology of the gear. Since spur gears are the most common form of gearing, it will be used to illustrate the nomenclature of gear teeth. The following figure is presented by Shigley *et al.* [8] and displays the nomenclature of spur gear teeth.




Figure 2: Nomenclature of spur gear teeth

One of the most important parameters on the gear tooth is the pitch circle since all calculations are based on this theoretical circle. The diameter of the pitch circle is called the pitch diameter d . When a pair of gears is mated together, the pitch circles of the gears are tangent to each other. The circular pitch p is the distance on the circumference of the pitch circle between the corresponding points of adjacent teeth. Therefore, the circular pitch is the sum of the tooth thickness and the width of a space. The addendum is the radial distance between the pitch circle and the top of the tooth (top land). The dedendum is the radial distance between the pitch circle and the bottom of the tooth space (bottom land). The clearance is the amount by which the dedendum in a given gear exceeds the addendum of its mating gear. The diametric pitch P is the ratio of the number of gear teeth to each inch of the pitch diameter. The module m is the ratio of the pitch diameter to the number of teeth, and the unit of module is usually millimeter. Hence,

3.4.2 Line of action

When gear teeth are meshing against each other, it will generate rotary motion. Also, when a curved surface pushes against another, the point of contact appears where the two surfaces are tangent to each other. Imagine a line pierces through this contact point with the characteristic of being common normal to the surfaces. Then, the forces at any instant are directed along this line, and this line represents the

direction of the forces. This is called the line of action or pressure line. Furthermore, the line of action will intersect the line of centers which is formed by the gears' centers at point P . This point is referred as the pitch point. The pitch point can be found by drawing the pitch circles of the gears since they are supposed to come in contact as soon as the gears are meshed together. The following figure shows the line of action and the tooth action of a pair of gears.

3.4.3 Fundamentals:

When two gears are meshed with each other, their pitch circles roll on one another without slipping. Thus the pitch line velocity can be defined as:

$$V = r_p \omega_p = r_g \omega_g \quad (3.4)$$




Figure 3: Tooth action

where p , r and g are the pitch radii of the pinion and gear; p and g are the angular velocities of the pinion and gear respectively. As shown in Figure 3.4.2, the pressure line is tangent to the base circles of the pinion and gear, and it pierces through the contact point. The horizontal line which is tangent to the pitch circles of the pinion and gear also pierces through the contact point. The angle between this horizontal line and the pressure line is identified as the pressure angle ϕ . The pressure angle usually has values of 14 1/2, 20, or 25 degrees. Furthermore, since the base circles are tangent to the pressure line, using basic geometry, the base circle radius can be determined through the pitch radius and the pressure angle.

$$r_b = r \cdot \cos \phi \quad (3.5)$$

For standard gear teeth, the addendum and dedendum distances are $1/P$ and $1.25/P$ respectively. The clearance, as previous described, is equal to the dedendum distance minus the addendum distance. In order to draw a tooth, one must know the tooth thickness. The tooth thickness is measured on the pitch circle and can be calculated as:

$$t = \frac{p}{2} \quad (3.6)$$

3.4.4 Contact ratio

The contact between the gears begins and ends at the intersections of the two addendum circles with the line of action. Depending on the design of the gears and the contact ratio, sometimes there will be two or more teeth in contact. According to Machinery's Handbook [9], the contact ratio is the ratio of the arc of action in the plane of rotation to the circular pitch. Often, it is considered as a number that

indicates the average number of pairs of teeth in contact. Furthermore, the contact ratio is obtained most directly as the ratio of the length of action to the base pitch.

$$m_c = \frac{Lab}{pb} = \frac{Lab}{p \cdot \cos \phi}$$


3.5 Involute properties

The involute curve of a tooth plays an important role in gear design and analysis. For instance, friction and wear between two gears is dependent on the profile of the teeth; the uniform velocity ratio is also dependent on the tooth profile. The involute tooth allows the center distance or spacing of the gears to vary over some range without affecting the velocity pressure angle, and number of teeth. 3D model was developed in PTC creo 3.0 software


ratio. Therefore, an accurate gear tooth profile will lead to high quality results. Even though the formation of the involute tooth profile has been described in [7] and [8], it is still challenging to construct the correct gear tooth profile in CAD and FEA code environment. However, with the up-to-dated numerical programs, one is able to develop a reliable code to create the gear tooth profile [10]. With some adjustments [11], the code can accurately generate the profile of a gear tooth. The details of the code can be found in the Appendix at the end of this work. The code only requires users to provide few parameters of the gear: diametral pitch,

	Low Contact Ratio		High Contact Ratio	
	Pinion	Gear	Pinion	Gear
Diametral Pitch, P (teeth/inch)	4.064	4.064	2.674	2.674
Pressure Angle (degree)	20	20	17.5	17.5
Number of teeth	25	89	38	135
Contact ratio	1.74	1.74	2.24	2.24
Face width (mm)	73.66	73.66	73.66	73.66
Pitch diameter(mm)	101.6	360.946	101.6	360.946
Steady transmitted load(KN)	30	30	30	30
E (For steel) (GPa)	210	210	210	210
μ (For steel)	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30


final assembly of hcr gears




low contact ratio gears



MESHING




APPLIED LOADS




4. RESULTS


Total deformation-lcr gears at 2500rpm




Total deformation-lcr gears at 5000rpm




Total deformation-lcr gears at 10000rpm




Total Deformation-HCR at 10000rpm



Total Deformation-HCR at 5000 rpm




Total Deformation-HCR at 2500 rpm




From the below graph we can observe that deformation is less in low contact ratio gears when compared to high contact ratio gears. It is because of the variation in the thickness of gear. In HCR and LCR we have taken the same pitch circle diameter but we have varied the number of teeth. so thickness of gear varies and hence the total deformation. but the difference in the deformation is in microns. so, this deformation doesn't really influence the performance of the gears.


TOTAL DEFORMATION (mm)




Equivalent Stress (VON-MISES) -HCR at 10000rpm




Equivalent Stress (VON-MISES) -HCR at 5000rpm




Equivalent Stress (VON-MISES) -HCR at 2500rpm




Equivalent Stress (VON-MISES) -LCR at 10000rpm



Equivalent Stress (VON-MISES) -LCR at 5000rpm




Equivalent Stress (VON-MISES) -LCR at 2500rpm



Equivalent Stress (VON-MISES) -LCR at 2500rpm



Equivalent (von-Mises) Stress (Mpa)




In the above graph of Equivalent Stress (VON-MISES) for HCR and LCR gears at different rotational velocities we can see the very slight variation in the stress with the rotational speed for same set of gear train. But we can see a tremendous decrement in the stress values for HCR gears when compared to LCR gears. this trend occurred due to the difference in the load on each tooth. we can more stress in case of LCR because the load has been shared by 2 pairs of teeth but where as in HCR gears , the total applied load has been shared by 4 pairs of teeth.

Equivalent elastic Strain-HCR at 10000rpm


Equivalent elastic Strain-HCR at 5000rpm




Equivalent elastic Strain-HCR at 2500rpm





Equivalent elastic Strain-LCR at 10000rpm




Equivalent elastic Strain-LCR at 5000rpm




Equivalent elastic Strain-LCR at 2500rpm




Frictional Stress of HCR-5000rpm





Frictional Stress of HCR-2500rpm



Frictional Stress of LCR-10000rpm



Frictional Stress of LCR-5000rpm




In the above graph we can see the variation of strain between HCR- gears and LCR-gears at different rotational velocities. we can see more than 40% difference in strain in HCR-gear set when compared to LCR-gear set.

Frictional Stress

Frictional Stress of HCR-10000rpm



Frictional Stress of LCR-2500rpm



set the hole frictional load is shared by two pairs of teeth whereas the same has been shared by more than four pairs of teeth. the reduction in frictional stress will reduce the tooth wear and power consumption to run the machine also provides the smooth running.

PRESSURE:

Pressure is the ratio of load on each tooth to the face area of the tooth. in case of LCR gears the load on each tooth is very high when compared to the HCR gear set. So, the pressure value will less in HCR gear set when compared to HCR gear set and the same trend is shown in the graph shown below.



we can see more than 20% decrement in the frictional stress values between HCR and LCR- gear sets this is due the load shared by the frictional load among teeth. in case of lcr gear

TABLE OF RESULTS:

	LCR GEARS-2500	LCR GEARS-5000	LCR GEARS-10000	HCR GEARS-2500	HCR GEARS-5000	HCR GEARS-10000
TOTAL DEFORMATION (mm)	0.011696	0.011696	0.011698	0.013302	0.013302	0.013303
Equivalent Elastic Strain	0.00038352	0.00038348	0.00038333	0.00023449	0.00023442	0.00023418
Equivalent (von-Mises) Stress (Mpa)	62.863	62.858	62.836	45.462	45.449	45.398
Shear Stress (Mpa)	11.89	11.83	11.8	16.353	16.341	16.295
penetration	3.81E-06	3.81E-06	3.81E-06	4.99E-05	4.99E-05	5.00E-05
frictional stress (Mpa)	17.884	17.856	17.849	13.484	13.474	13.433
PRESSURE (Mpa)	72.416	72.445	72.56	25.359	25.357	25.348



International Journal of Ethics in Engineering & Management Education

Website: www.ijeee.in (ISSN: 2348-4748, Volume 4, Issue 10, October 2017)

5. CONCLUSION

- Maximum value of deformation 0.013303 mm is observed in HCR gear set at 10000rpm against 0.011698 mm in LCR gear set at the same rotational speed.
- Maximum value of equivalent elastic strain 0.00038352 is observed in LCR gear set at 2500rpm against 0.00023449 in HCR gear set at the same rotational speed.
- Minimum value of equivalent stress 45.398 Mpa is observed in HCR gear set at 10000rpm against 62.836 Mpa in LCR gear set at the same rotational speed.
- Minimum value of frictional stress 13.433 Mpa is observed in HCR gear set at 10000rpm against 17.849 Mpa in LCR gear set at the same rotational speed.
- Minimum value of pressure 25.348 Mpa is observed in HCR gear set at 10000rpm against 72.416 Mpa in LCR gear set 2500rpm.

FUTURE SCOPE

As the riggings are finding conspicuous applications for transmission of energy in various fields like vehicle, marine, aviation and all the more imperatively in mechanical autonomy it needs a tremendous research for utilizing gears in various applications. by and large riggings are being utilized for transmission of burdens we have to lead investigate in unique load applications and we need to check the execution in powerful load conditions.

As we as a whole realize that the execution of apparatuses relies upon the materials utilized for making of riggings. so we need to direct research in the material science to pick the correct material with a specific end goal to decrease the weight, contact grating that enhances the execution of gears which thus increment the effectiveness.

REFERENCES

- [1]. W. A. Tuplin, "Gear Tooth Stresses at High Speed", Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, 1950, Vol. 163, page 162-175.
- [2]. J. O. Smith and C. K. Liu, "Stresses due to Tangential and Normal Loads on an Elastic Solid with Application to some Contact Stress Problems", Journal of Applied Mechanics, 1953, Vol. 20, page 157.
- [3]. D. R. Houser and A. Seireg, "Evaluation of Dynamic Factors for Spur and Helical Gears", ASME J. Eng. Ind., 1970, Vol. 92, page 504-514.
- [4]. L. Wilcox and W. Coleman, "Application of Finite Elements to the Analysis of Gear Tooth Stresses", Journal of Manufacturing Science Engineering, 1973, Vol. 95, Issue 4, page 1139-1148.
- [5]. H.E. Staph, "A Parametric Analysis of High Contact Ratio Spur Gears", ASLE Transactions, 1976, Vol. 19, Issue 3, page 201-215.
- [6]. R. W. Cornell and W. W. Westervelt, "Dynamic Tooth Loads and Stressing for High Contact Ratio Spur Gears", Journal of Mechanical Design, 1978, Vol. 100, Issue 1, page 69-76.
- [7]. J.W. Lund, "Critical Speeds, Stability and Response of a Geared Train of Rotors", ASME Journal of Mechanical Design, 1978, Vol. 100, page 535-538.
- [8]. R. Kasuba and J. W. Evans, "An Extended Model for Dynamic Loads in Spur Gearing", ASME Journal of Mechanical Design, 1981, page 398-409.
- [9]. A. H. Elkholly, "Tooth Load Sharing in High-Contact Ratio Spur Gears", Journal of Mechanical Design, 1985, Vol. 107, Issue 1, page 11-16. Page 42
- [10]. D. C. Yang and J. Y. Lin, "Hertzian Damping, Tooth Friction and Bending Elasticity in Gear Impact Dynamics", ASME Journal of Mechanisms, Transmissions and Automation in Design, 1987, Vol. 109, page 107.
- [11]. H. Nevzat Ozguven and D. R. Houser, "Dynamic Analysis of High Speed Gears using Loaded Static Transmission Error", Journal of Sound and Vibration, 1988, Vol. 125, Issue 1, page 71-83.
- [12]. [A. Kahraman and R. Singh "Error Associated With a Reduced Order Linear Model of a Spur Gear Pair", Journal of Sound and Vibration, 1991, Vol. 149, Issue 3, page 495-498.
- [13]. A. Kahraman, H. N. Ozguven and D. R. Houser, "Dynamic Analysis of Geared Rotor by Finite Element", ASME Journal of Mechanical Design, 1992, Vol. 114, Issue 2, page 507-514.
- [14]. S. K. Nayak, "Effect of Tooth Stiffness on Dynamic Load on Spur Gear Teeth in Mesh", ME Thesis Regional Engineering College, Rourkela, Odisha, 1994.
- [15]. V. Ramamurti, H. Nayak, Vijayendra and C. Sujatha "Static and Dynamic Analysis of Spur and Bevel Gears using FEM", Mechanical Machine Theory, 1998, Vol. 33, Issue 8, page 1177-1193.
- [16]. R. G. Parker, S. M. Vijayakar and T. Imajo, "Non-Linear Dynamic Response of a Spur Gear Pair: Modelling and Experimental Comparisons", Journal of Sound and Vibration, 2000, Vol. 237, Issue 3, page 435-455.
- [17]. K. J. Huang and T. S. Liu, "Dynamic Analysis of Spur Gear by Dynamic Stiffness Method", Journal of Sound and vibration, 2000, Vol. 234, Issue 2, page 311-329. Page 43
- [18]. A. Kapelevich, "Geometry and design of involute spur gears with asymmetric teeth", Mechanism and Machine Theory, 2000, Vol. 135, page 117-130.
- [19]. Siu-Tong Choi and Sheng-Yang Mau, "Dynamic Analysis of Geared Rotor-Bearing Systems by the Transfer Matrix Method", Journal of Mechanical Design, 2001, Vol. 123, page 562-568.
- [20]. Zhang Hua Fong, Ta Wei Chiang and Chieh Wen Tsey, "Mathematical Model for Parametric Tooth Profile of Spur Gear Using Line of Action", Mathematical and Computer Modeling, 2002, Vol. 36, page 603-614.
- [21]. L. Vedmar and A. Anderson, "A Method to Determine Dynamic Loads on Spur Gear Teeth and on Bearings", Journal of Sound and Vibration, 2003, Vol. 267, page 1065-1084.
- [22]. Rafiq Maliha, Can U. Dogruer and H. Nevzat Ozguven, "Nonlinear Dynamic Modeling of Gear Shaft Disk Bearing Systems Using Finite Elements and Describing Functions", Transactions of the ASME, 2004, Vol. 126, page 534-541.
- [23]. Jiande Wang and Ian Howard, "Finite Element Analysis of High Contact Ratio Spur Gears in Mesh", Journal of Tribology, 2005, Vol. 127, page 469-483.
- [24]. Shuting Li, "Finite Element Analysis for Contact Strength and Bending Strength of A Pair of Spur Gears With Machining Errors, Assembly Errors And Tooth Modifications", Mechanism and Machine Theory, 2007, Vol. 42, page 88-114.
- [25]. E. Podzharov, V. Syromyatnikov, J. Navarro and R. Navarro, "Static and Dynamic Transmission Error in Spur Gears", The Open Industrial and Manufacturing Engineering Journal, 2008, Vol. 1, page 37-41.



International Journal of Ethics in Engineering & Management Education

Website: www.ijeee.in (ISSN: 2348-4748, Volume 4, Issue 10, October 2017)

About the author



MARRI RAVITEJA received his B.Tech in MECHANICAL at Avanthi Scientific technological and research academy in 2014. And P.G received in MECHANICAL at Scient institute of technology in 2016. He is currently working as an assistant professor in MECHANICAL dept at Scient institute of technology, Ibrahimpatnam, Ranga Reddy district - 501506. And his interest area is Fluid mechanics and strength of materials.